



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/914,155	08/24/2001	Jean-Francois Marcerou	Q65084	1786	
75	90 07/27/2004	•	EXAM	INER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas			PASCAL, LESLIE C		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2633		
		¥	DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A/			
	09/914,155	MARCEROU ET AL.	Υ			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Pascal	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s '			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely, on the mailing date of this commur NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	lune 20 <u>02</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 1.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stag	j e			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:)			

Application/Control Number: 09/914,155

Art Unit: 2633

- 1. Although the applicant is only given one month to respond to the restriction requirement, the following problems are pointed out in order to promote compact prosecution. The applicant does not have to respond to these issues, but would possibly speed up prosecution if these problems were addressed. There are no figures. All claimed elements must be shown. NO NEW MATTER MAY BE ENTERED. Further, there may be possible 112 first paragraph problems or 101 problems because it is unclear how the signals are supplied with the specific functional language. There are no figures or description of how the signals are supplied. Further, the claims appear to be "single means" (just a stream of pulses). See MPEP 2164.08(a). Also, claims 10-12 appear to contain two statutory classes (means and a method in the same claim Ex parte Lyell (17USPQ2d 1548, Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990)). See MPEP 2173.05(p), section II.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I) the embodiment in which each pulse has a constant phase

Species II) the embodiment in which phase varies between beginning of a pulse and the end of a pulse.

Species III) the embodiment in which RZ signals are generated from two interleaved streams of pulses

Application/Control Number: 09/914,155

Art Unit: 2633

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species I claims 1-2, 6-10 Species II claims 1, 3-7, and 11 Species III claims 1, 7 and 12

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 7.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: species I has constant phase, species II has phase that changes, species III has two streams.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 703-305-4922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Friday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 703-305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Pascal Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2633